

Ultrametric analogues of Ulam stability

Francesco Fournier-Facio, ETH Zürich

General framework

$$d_G(kg, kh) = d_G(g, h) = d_G(gk, hk)$$

- $\mathcal{G}$ a family of groups G equipped with bi-invariant metrics d_G ,
 $\uparrow$ a countable group.

Q (Stability):
of Γ wrt $\mathcal{G}$

Is every almost-homomorphism $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow G \in \mathcal{G}$
close to a homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$?

- Formalized using:

$$\text{def}_{g,h}(\varphi) := d_G(\varphi(gh), \varphi(g)\varphi(h))$$
$$\text{def}(\varphi) := \sup_{g,h} \text{def}_{g,h}(\varphi)$$

$$\text{dist}_g(\varphi, \psi) := d_G(\varphi(g), \psi(g))$$
$$\text{dist}(\varphi, \psi) := \sup_g \text{dist}_g(\varphi, \psi)$$

$\leadsto$ pointwise stability / uniform stability

- Most commonly studied:

- $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbb{S}_n, d_{\text{Hamming}})$, mostly pointwise ← Hamming distance

- $\mathcal{Y} = (S_n, d_{\text{Ham}})$, mostly **pointwise**
- $\mathcal{Y} = (U(n), \|\cdot\|)$ ^{some bi-invariant norm}

Quite different behaviours and techniques!

- (Bounded) cohomology
- C^* -algebras
- K -theory
- IRS's
- Theoretical CS

 **Pointwise** stability $\not\Rightarrow$ **uniform** stability

EX: $\mathcal{Y} = (U(n), \|\cdot\|_{\text{op}})$. Then F_2 is **pointwise**, not **uniformly** stable
 $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is **uniformly**, not **pointwise** stable

Ultrametric families

Q: What happens if every $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is ultrametric? That is:

$$d_G(g, h) \leq \max \{ d_G(g, k), d_G(k, h) \} \quad \forall g, h, k \in G$$

Typical example: 1^{st} -countable profinite group with metric induced by a filtration

- EX:
- Families of Galois groups
 - Families of groups of rooted tree automorphism
 - (Main) $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p), GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q[[X]])$
= non-Archimedean analogues of $U(n)$

Pointwise vs uniform stability

Pointwise vs uniform stability

THM: Let Γ be finitely generated. Then

- 1) Γ is pointwise stable $\Rightarrow \Gamma$ is uniformly stable.
- 2) If Γ is finitely presented, then $\Leftarrow$.

EX: Free groups are uniformly stable wrt ultrametric families.
They never are in the other examples!

Stability via finite quotients

- Suppose now the groups in $\mathcal{G}$ are moreover compact. Then:

THM: Γ is uniformly stable $\Leftrightarrow$ its largest residually finite

THM: Γ is uniformly stable $\Leftrightarrow$ its largest residually finite quotient is

Major open questions in some other settings!

- EX: 1) Groups without finite quotients are uniformly stable.
 2) If a perfect group $\sim H(\mathbb{Z})$ is uniformly stable.

- For pointwise stability, residual properties are replaced by local embeddings

Concrete examples

- $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q[[X]])$
 $\nearrow q = p^k$

THM. The following ...

THM: The following are uniformly stable:

- 1) Virtually free groups w/o p -torsion
- 2) $BS(m, n)$ where $p \nmid m, p \nmid n$ ← generalizes to GBS groups
- 3) $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{mn}] \rtimes_{\frac{m}{n}} \mathbb{Z}$ if moreover $1 \neq |m| \neq |n| \neq 1, (m, n) = 1$
- 4) $H \wr \mathbb{Z}$ if $H \not\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

- In characteristic 0, more tools are available.
 $\mathcal{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

THM: The following are uniformly stable:

- 1) Finite groups
- 2) Virtually free groups
- 3) Finitely generated virtually free groups

, generalizes

- 3) Finitely generated virtually free groups
4) $BS(m, n)$ where $v_p(m) \neq v_p(n)$. ↙ generalizes to GBS groups

Open questions

- 1) Is $\mathbb{Z}^2$ $\mathcal{G}$ -stable, for $\mathcal{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ or $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q[[X]])$?
- 2) Are all finite groups $\mathcal{G}$ -stable, for $\mathcal{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q[[X]])$?